Case 1:08-cv-00665-AKH	Document 1	Filed 01/07/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ζ		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
RICHARD A. DUBOIS AND TARA DUBOIS		DOCKET NO.	
Plain	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHOOMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MANDA IRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defer	ndants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvir 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			•
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth, a	erein in additio are marked w	n to those paragraphs ith an '☑' if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, RICHARD A. DUBOIS	AND TARA	DUBOIS, by his/her/the	ir attorneys WORBY

GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## **PARTIES**

#### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

vidual
_•

Ca	se 1:08-cv-00665-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11	
3. residing at 22 Plaintiff:		the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New York -, and has the following relationship to the Injured	
Tamuii.	RICHARD A. DUBOIS, and b	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff orings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to	
		usband (his wife), Plaintiff RICHARD A. DUBOIS. Other:	
4. Construction	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 7/20/2 as a Operating Engineer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for AMEC	
Ì	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
======================================	I Trade Center Site	□ The Barge	
	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;	
	bout <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>7/20/2002</u> ; ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	ly 312 days total.	☐ <b>Other:*</b> For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
□ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured	
	oout until,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the	
Approximate Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;	
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;	
	ly hours per day; for	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site	
Approximate	ly days total.	Building/Worksite:	
	* *	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

### B. **DEFENDANT(S)**

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

	. —
☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	✓ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
$\square$ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
$\square$ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP  ☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE CONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ EN-TECH CORF
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
- / WORLD IRADE COMI ANT, E.I.	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:08-cv-00665-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:08-cv-00665-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u> Date of onset:		Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u> Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
✓	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems;	$\checkmark$	Fear of Cancer
	Shortness of Breath		Date of onset: 8/1/2007
	Date of onset: $8/1/2007$ Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		date
	Wife work. 10 be supplied at a fater date		dute
	Digestive Injury: N/A.	<b>V</b>	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	estiva list	of injuries that may be alleged
	NOIL. The joregoing is NOI an exhau	siive iisi	oj injuries inai may de allegea.
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groun	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the he future suffer the following compensable
Grour dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:		
dama;	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		
dama;	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:		
dama; ==== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  ———————————————————————————————————		
dama; ==== <b>☑</b>	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  ===================================		
dama; ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  ———————————————————————————————————		
dama; ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  ===================================		
dama; ===== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering  Loss of the enjoyment of life  Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity  Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		
dama; ==== ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  ===================================		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 $\checkmark$ 

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 3, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Richard A. DuBois and Tara Dubois

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 3, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket N	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	RICHARD A. DUBOIS (AND WIFE, TARA DUBOIS),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
====	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
Г	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORRY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN LLP